

BIBLICAL TERRANOMICS

ESSAYS IN CHRISTIAN DOMINION

August, 1998

No.23

THE RECIPE OF FREEDOM

In the late 1970s a group of Communists called the Sandinistas took over Nicaragua, a small country in Central America. They initiated a reign of terror, typical of all Communist regimes. One unforgettable horror story is a case which involved an Assemblies of God pastor in a small village. Soldiers came one day, drug him from his church, and while his parishioners were forced to watch, he was repeatedly sodomized.

* * *

During the height of the Cold War, there is the story of a man called Brother Andrew, a man who inspired millions of Christians around the world with his ministry of incursions into Communist-block countries with Bibles, literature, and other items of need for the persecuted Church. With new information from de-classified Soviet documents, we now know why he was able to make those journeys unmolested. The Secret Police used him and his assistants like stalking horses. The KGB would let them pass, follow them, let them find the underground church, and then, after they were safely gone (glowing with their daring works of righteousness), they would arrest and imprison their new contacts.

* * *

The march of World Missions continues. A recent tribe to be evangelized has now a new Bible. The new translation was a challenge. Because in this tribe, the only small farm animal known is the pig. The expression, "the Lamb of God," was meaningless to these people; so, it was translated as "the Pig of God."

* * *

Here, in my community, a young Christian girl, a cashier, was murdered a year or two ago. It was very sad. However, I am told that a mighty revival has swept through the large, evangelical church, of which she was a member. I do not know what has happened to her murderers. I am told that her father has forgiven them.

* * *

A few years ago some people came to my town to stop abortion. There were large demonstrations. Abortion clinics were blocked. Many evangelical pastors joined with them in a massive show of protest. It made national news. Then one day, our local federal judge told the pastors that they would lose their state charters if they continued. The demonstrations stopped.

CATAMITE RELIGION

What I have just described to you above are examples of “Catamite Religion,” the religion of accommodation. In the Bible there are two words to describe the homosexual encounter. The most familiar word is “sodomite.” The sodomite is the active participant in this unnatural relationship. But the Bible uses a different word to describe the passive participant. He is called the “Catamite.” The Catamite plays the role of the female. In our English Bibles, Catamite is often translated as “effeminate.” It is the Sodomite who inserts his penis into the anus of the Catamite.

In Classical Christian theology, the civil magistrate is the authority figure in society. He must be obeyed, even if he is a tyrant. The Church is an other-worldly sanctuary in society. It is the place to hide, to lick your wounds, and to find courage to face another day. If you endure the ordeal, you get to go to heaven. But until you do, BOHICA (Bend Over, Here It Comes Again).

As Mark Twain once quipped, “There are three sexes in the world: men, women, and preachers.” He was merely reflecting a common perception: the leaders of the Church are the passive agents in society; the leaders of government are the active agents. Governments exert coercion. Churches exert persuasion. Religion is feminine. The rule of society is masculine.

In my other writings, I have pointed out how the erect penis is a symbol of dominance and aggression (*The Mother Heart of God*, 1997). Evangelical theology teaches that Christian men should not have erect penises. Erect penises are evidence of wicked lust. They are not allowed to show aggressiveness. Passivity is equated with true spirituality. The remedies to evil, we are told, are weeping and prayer, remorse and fasting - not force. This very essay could not be read aloud in most churches. It would cause great offense to talk of initiative, dominion, and erect penises.

The irony to all of this - and indicative of how modern English has become sanitized and neutered - is that every one of these churches profess to believe in an “omnipotent” God. The very word omnipotent - *omni (all) potent (able)* - in its etymological root does not just mean “all powerful.” It comes from the Latin word

potens which shares the same root as *pater* (father): *potis*. How does one become a father? We use the word “potency” to refer to the masculine ability to achieve phallic erection. To say that you serve an omnipotent God, you are saying “I serve the God with the erection.”

Which is why, lately, I have been talking about the phallic Christ - the potent Christ. I favor the King James Version. I will scarcely listen to a sermon if the preacher does not use it. The Received Text will say “he that pisseth against the wall” while the modern versions will say “male.” Modern translators are sensitive to polite society. Apparently, they think the inspired text is too crude. The KJV is a very organic and masculine Bible. It was the Bible of America’s Founding Fathers.

A LITTLE OF THIS

There is a recipe of freedom. Virtually no church in America today is teaching that recipe. Political philosophy follows our theology. Our rationale for law comes from our God, which is why a better translation for *E/ohim* (God) in the Bible would be “Sovereign.” Sometimes, it is correctly translated as “Judge.” Your God is your Judge and the source of law in your society, hence the commandment, “Thou shalt no other gods before me.” Politics is founded upon theological principles.

Early American Christianity taught Postmillennialism, God’s Law, Moral Government, Federal Headship, and the Civil Mandate, among others. These doctrines were important to the political philosophy of the Founding Fathers. These doctrines are not taught anymore in American churches.

I have been in churches of many stripes. Some of them profess to be promoting America’s Christian Heritage. Unless they advocate repealing woman’s suffrage, I do not take them seriously. Unless they advocate repealing the Civil War Amendments, I do not take them seriously. Unless they advocate enforcing the Titles of Nobility Amendment, I do not take them seriously. If you do not understand what I have just said, you do not understand America’s recipe of freedom, and I will not take you seriously.

The Watchmen on the walls tell us that our civilization is about to collapse. If it is not the “Year 2000 Bug,” it is something else. They are telling Christians to get prepared; yet these same Christians, given the opportunity, will create the same kind of civilization which is about to be judged. In other words, modern Christian theology is the enemy of the Kingdom of God and will not enable our churches to survive the coming collapse.

We are told that the two institutions which will survive these various crises are the churches and the gangs. If it comes to a contest between the gangs and the churches, then the gangs win without contest. Gang members know how to enforce oaths. Churches play with covenants. Their covenants are not written in blood. I do not expect that our churches are prepared doctrinally or psychologically to cope with the level of violence that will be required to maintain order in a post-civilized world. Most Christians will crawl off into the corner and whimper.

The Christian Reconstructionists understand what I am talking about. But there is a fatal flaw in Christian Reconstructionism: Augustinianism. Now, don't get me wrong. When I say "Augustinianism" I am not talking about TULIP: the acronym for the five points of Calvinism. TULIP is not at the heart of Augustinianism. The heart of Augustine's theology produced Classical Christianity: the Christianity of the cloth, the cloister, and the undrinkable cup. It centered on the notion that the erect penis was proof of Original Sin. It feared the sexuality of the female. It tainted fatherhood, family, and earthly dominion as inferior, if not unholy, institutions.

AMERICA'S CHRISTIAN HERITAGE

As I have pointed out in my other writings, the American Revolution was a Scots-Irish revolt. It was heavily influenced by Presbyterian Calvinism. But it was a Jacobite revolt, as well. And the proof of that is the influence Scottish Freemasonry had on early American ideology. Evangelical Christians are in denial on this point. They do not want to believe that the Founding Fathers were predominately Freemasons. But Gary North's book, *Political Polytheism*, has lain to rest all doubts. North, of course, sees a sinister conspiracy of heresy. Operating from his rigidly classical tradition, he is completely unaware of the continuing influence of the Jamesian Church or the Grail Religion in Celtic Christianity. Nor does he realize that heretical movements are reactions to the distortions of truth within organized Christianity itself - in this case, Latin Christianity's persistent Docetism.

The Founding Fathers believed in a phallic Christ, hence the Washington Monument. It was Masonic Doctrine. They believed Christ married and had children. There is nothing in that belief contrary to Biblical Christianity or the Creeds of the Church. But in an earlier time, you hazarded your life to say such a thing. The churches of apostolic succession, of course, were threatened, because the notion of a spiritual authority outside of the church reduced its power. However, in a broader sense, all of Christianity was still laboring under the influence of Augustine. The Latin Protestants had succeeded in restoring a married clergy. But the idea of a married Savior still seemed impious. A married Jesus eliminates the need, altogether, for an ordained clergy of any kind, and most Protestant churches are wannabe Catholics, anyway.

The Jamesian tradition descended to us through the Culdees of the Celtic Church (proto-theonomists of the early middle ages) and the Knights Templar who excavated at the old Temple site in Jerusalem and found confirmation of these secrets and then fled the Inquisition to Scotland to fight with the Bruce for Scottish Independence. They found friends in the Freemasons and merged with them.

At the time the Roman Catholic Church had a long standing controversy with Freemasonry. It blamed Magna Charta on the Masons. Freemasonry was not corrupted until the time of the French Revolution, after the principles of Illuminism, which Jefferson was duped into believing were innocuous, had infiltrated American Masonry early in the 19th Century - a fact which spawned the heretical Mormon Church.

I am merely stating historical facts, here. I hold no illusions about these institutions. Both Masonry and the Mormon Church are not reformable institutions. But then again, neither is organized Christianity. Many Fundamentalists love Churchianity and have been suckered by the Vatican into peddling anti-Masonic propaganda. Inquisitors have always accused their enemies of witchcraft, sorcery, satanism, heresy, *ad nauseum*. To discredit your theological opponent today, it is fashionable to table him as “New Age” and the damage is done.

Because American Masons believed in the doctrine of the phallic Christ, in imitation of Christ, they acted with initiative in subduing a Continent. They produced a very manly Christianity, not the imperial, state-sponsored, monastic world view of Augustine, but a phallic Christianity that centered on fatherhood. Many Colonial pastors (including Presbyterian) were Masons. Paul Revere, the son of persecuted Huguenots, was a Mason.

AND A PINCH OF THAT

Thomas Jefferson is generally accepted as the author of America’s political creed. As you know, he was the primary author of the Declaration of Independence. Jefferson evaluated the contribution of ancient Greco-Roman culture to America’s political institutions. He openly expressed his dismay. He saw Greece and Rome as systems of despotism.

Instead, he found America’s heritage of freedom in the tribal customs of the ancient Anglo-Saxons. Jefferson taught himself the Saxon tongue so that he might understand them. Of Welsh descent, Jefferson also knew his Celtic heritage. The codification of Anglo-Saxon law was found in the “Book of Doms” of Alfred the Great (not to be confused with “The Domesday Book” of William the Conqueror, which came centuries later). King Alfred admitted that the Anglo-Saxons received their customs from the Culdees, the converted Druids who were the spiritual and moral leaders of the

Celtic Britons. The Book of Dooms contained Exodus 20-23 and the Jamesian ruling of Acts 15 and his Epistle, a fact which confirms the origins of Celtic Christianity, ultimately, in the Jamesian tradition of the Jerusalem Church. The book of James is a populist tract which criticizes the elitism of the merchant class and defends the simplicity of a non-institutional Christianity (see St. *James, The First Bishop of Jerusalem* by Isabel Hill Elder with a lengthy addendum by James Stivers and other titles available from this ministry's booklist).

There is more, however. Exodus 21 is the key chapter in Biblical law, because there we find the enforcer of the Kingdom of God on Earth: the self-government of the patriarchal freeholder: *the Geber*. The patriarchal structure of the Kingdom of God, within the context of the family, is the only institution which offers a valid collectivism to combat the socialism of any age. Corporate capitalism, as Richard Weaver and his Southern Agrarians have so eloquently argued, is a clever form of state socialism - a phony alternative.

The patriarchs of Exodus 21 were men with sons: lots of them. As I have pointed out in other studies (*The Biblical Case for Polygamy*), the average Israelite father at the Exodus had two dozen sons. Most of my detractors refuse to deal with that claim because they know it is a bedrock Biblical fact. If they deny it, they must deny the inspiration of the Scriptures.

Men without sons are weak men. And weak men cannot produce a free society. Circle and underline that statement. America's free society began as an attempt to free itself of the tentacles of both church and state and create, as Rosalie Slater and Verna Hall have called "The Self-Government of the Christian Man" and "Christian Self-Government with Union." That attempt was aborted because American Evangelicals - ignorant (or embarrassed) of the opinions of Protestant leaders such as Martin Luther and John Milton - refused to embrace polygamy. The nuclear family is not an institution strong enough to oppose the state or the church. The Mormons embraced polygamy, created a paradise out of a wilderness, and became the third force of American politics.

INTEGRITY OR ACCOUNTABILITY?

Herein lays the recipe of freedom. **Christian men with potency**, subduing the earth to the glory of God and exercising godly dominion over it. A sign of our Catamite Religion is the pervasive demand for accountability. Effeminate men are threatened by men who are self-motivated and self-accountable. A healthy Christianity demands integrity not accountability. Submission to the group emasculates men. Accountability produces bureaucracy. The Bible sets forth the ideal of holiness, which

finds its true expression in integrity. Integrity is in the man who acts the same way morally when he is alone as he does when he is with others. Men without integrity need accountability. They need supervision because they cannot be trusted to be righteous when alone.

God does not need to be supervised. He is holy because that is the way He is. Men with integrity are moral because that is the way they are, not because there is an external force motivating them to be moral: a nagging housewife, a manipulative boss, or a pleading pastor. Because we live in an age without integrity, without phallic men, we live in an age of laws and rules and the pervasive state. And the churches have taught the state all it knows. The churches are full of Do's and Don'ts and a morals police. We did not have tyranny in the state without it first being manifested in the church.

CONCLUSION

If my illustrations of Catamite Religion at the beginning of this article puzzle you, or upset you, it is because you yourself are infected with Catamite Religion. You believe in coping, rather than conquering. You labor under a guilty conscience, rather than a confident faith. You are reactive, rather than proactive. You do not glorify Christ by your impotent piety and pathetic worship.

The future lies with what will be called "barbaric Christianity".

*Let the high praises of God be in their mouth,
And a two-edged sword in their hand;
To execute vengeance upon the heathen,
And punishments upon the people;
To bind their kings with chains,
And their nobles with fetters of iron;
To execute upon them the judgment written:
This honour have all his saints.*

Praise ye the LORD.

Psalm 149